

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

em

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,572	05/03/2002	Chi-Hsing Hsu	8289-US-PA	9759
31561	7590 12/04/2002			
	YUN INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
ROOSEVEL	FLOOR-1, NO. 100 COOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		GURLEY, LYNNE ANN	
TAIPEI, 10 TAIWAN	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/063,572

Applicant(s)

Hsu et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Lynne Gurley

Art Unit 2812

-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			
	for Reply			
THE N - Extens	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. itions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In 19 date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Le applicatio™ to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 5, 20	02		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>9-15</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on May 3, 2002 is/are	a) a) accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT ⁻ Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)∐ a\[Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisions			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) 🗌 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 ln	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/063,572 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-15 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,572 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

5. Claims 9-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaynes et al. (US 6,165,885, dated 12/26/2000).

Gaynes shows the method as claimed in Figures 76-77 and corresponding text(column 44, lines 30-67; column 45, lines 1-5) in a flip-chip assembly, with insulating layers 1505, 1511 having open windows; conductive paste plugs 1507 in each open window. The stencil used as the insulating layer may be a polyimide by spin-coating and laminated with adhesive layer 1511. Common etching and photolithographic practices can be used for the formation of open windows. The bumps are inside of the open windows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,572

Art Unit: 2812

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaynes (US 6,165,885, dated 12/26/2000) in view of the admitted prior art (pages 1-5 of the specification).

Gaynes shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the previous paragraphs.

Gaynes lacks anticipation only in not teaching that the formation of open windows may be done by laser drilling and that a redistribution layer is included on the substrate.

The admitted prior art on pages 1-5 of the specification teaches that in a multi-chip assembly, a redistribution layer is common.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included a redistribution layer for the completeness of the chip assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,572

Art Unit: 2812

It would have ben obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have formed the open windows by laser drilling for accuracy of the profile of the windows, especially with a laser scanning mechanism which would increase throughput and efficiency.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-8 are allowed over the cited prior art of record.

The prior art of record fails to teach or to suggest the subsequent steps to the formation of the conductive paste plug (ie., the subsequent formation of the metal pad layer in addition to the solder ball).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is (703) 305-3474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F. Nielbing, can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephore number is (703) 308-0956.

LYNNE GURLEY
PATENT EXAMINER

lag

November 18, 2002